Molecular tracing of SARS-CoV-2 in Italy in the first three months of the epidemic

Alessia Lai*1, Annalisa Bergna1, Sara Caucci², Nicola Clementi³, Ilaria Vicenti⁴, Filippo Dragoni⁴,
Anna Maria Cattelan⁵, Stefano Menzo², Angelo Pan⁶, Annapaola Callegaro⁷, Adriano
Tagliabracci⁸, Arnaldo Caruso⁹, Francesca Caccuri⁹, Silvia Ronchiadin¹⁰, Claudia Balotta¹,
Maurizio Zazzi⁴, Emanuela Vaccher¹¹, Massimo Clementi³, Massimo Galli¹, Gianguglielmo
Zehender¹ on behalf of SARS-CoV-2 ITALIAN RESEARCH ENTERPRISE – (SCIRE)
collaborative Group

- 9 ¹ Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences Luigi Sacco, University of Milan, Milan, Italy.
- ² Department of Biomedical Sciences and Public Health, Virology Unit, Polytechnic University of Marche,
 Ancona, Italy.
- ³ Microbiology and Virology Unit, "Vita-Salute" San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy.
- 13 ⁴ Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Siena, Italy.
- Infectious Diseases Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda Ospedaliera-Universitaria di Padova,
 Padua, Italy.
- 16 ⁶ Infectious Diseases, ASST Cremona, Cremona (MI), Italy.
- 17 ⁷ Microbiology and Virology Laboratory, ASST Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy.
- 18 ⁸ Section of Legal Medicine, Universita Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy.
- 9 Microbiology Unit, Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Brescia and ASST
 20 Spedali Civili Hospital, Brescia, Italy.
- 21 ¹⁰ Intesa Sanpaolo Innovation Center AI LAB, Turin, Italy
- ¹¹ Medical Oncology and Immune-related Tumors, Centro di Riferimento Oncologico di Aviano (CRO),
 IRCCS, Aviano 33081, Italy.
 - *Correspondence: alessia.lai@unimi.it; Tel.: (+39) 0250319775

Abstract: The aim of this study is the characterization and genomic tracing by phylogenetic
analyses of 59 new SARS-CoV-2 Italian isolates obtained from patients attending clinical centres in
North and Central Italy until the end of April 2020.

30 All but one of the newly characterized genomes belonged to the lineage B.1, the most frequently

identified in European countries, including Italy. Only a single sequence was found to belong tolineage B.

- A mean of 6 nucleotide substitutions per viral genome was observed, without significant
 differences between synonymous and non-synonymous mutations, indicating genetic drift as a
 major source for virus evolution.
- tMRCA estimation confirmed the probable origin of the epidemic between the end of January and
 the beginning of February with a rapid increase in the number of infections between the end of
- **38** February and mid-March. Since early February, an effective reproduction number (Re) greater than
- 39 1 was estimated, which then increased reaching the peak of 2.3 in early March, confirming the
- 40 circulation of the virus before the first COVID-19 cases were documented.
- Continuous use of state-of-the-art methods for molecular surveillance is warranted to trace virus
 circulation and evolution and inform effective prevention and containment of future SARS-CoV-2
- 42 circulation and evolution43 outbreaks.
- 44

25

26

45 Keywords: Phylodynamic analyses; SARS-CoV2 circulation in Italy; molecular tracing; Whole46 Genome Sequencing.

- 47
- 48

49 1. Introduction

50 Italy is one of the countries most and earlier affected in Europe by the COVID-19 pandemic 51 (https://gisanddata.maps.arcgis.com/apps/opsdashboard/index.html#/bda7594740fd40299423467b48 52 e9ecf6). The first autochthonous cases of Coronavirus 2019 Disease (COVID-19) were observed 53 starting from February 21, 2020 in Codogno (Lodi province), determining on February 22, 2020 the 54 establishment of a 'red zone' to contain the epidemic, encompassing 11 municipalities. Thereafter, in 55 a short time, it became evident that the epidemic had already involved a large part of Lombardy 56 region and then spread to neighbouring regions and, substantially less, to the rest of the country. On 57 March 9, lockdown was declared for the entire country. The rapidly increasing number of patients 58 who required hospitalization in the intensive care unit suggested that the virus may have circulated 59 for a long period and caused thousands of contagions before the epidemic became manifest [1].

SARS-CoV-2 was first detected in Italy in a couple of Chinese tourists coming from Wuhan on
January 31 [2]. Subsequent evaluations have not shown a relationship between the sequence of these
strains and those implicated in the epidemic in Lombardy [3].

On the contrary, the Codogno strains resulted strictly related with a strain of SARS-CoV-2 coming from Shanghai which caused a small outbreak in Munich around January 20 [1] and was probably spread later to other European countries and beyond the Atlantic [4]. These sequences are part of a clade initially defined as a European clade, the old Nexstrain A2a subclade, which is currently the most widespread outside China and probably responsible for most of the world pandemic [5].

69 In the face of more than 240,000 notified cases in Italy, the entire genomes available in public 70 databases are still scarce (77 at the time of this study). The availability of large numbers of sequences 71 collected over time is necessary for molecular surveillance of the epidemic and for evaluation and 72 planning of effective control strategies. To perform this study, a network of Italian Clinical centres 73 and Laboratories across Italy generated additional 59 full-length SARS-CoV-2 sequences from 74 COVID-19 patients ranging from the end of February to the end of April. This contribution helps to 75 trace the temporal origin, the rate of viral evolution and the population dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in 76 Italy by phylogeny.

77 2. Materials and Methods

78 2.1 Patients and Methods

A total of 59 SARS-CoV-2 whole genomes were newly characterized from an equal number of
patients affected by COVID-19, attending different clinical centres in Northern and Central Italy, from
the beginning of the epidemic (February 22, 2020) until April 27, 2020 (Table S1).

All of the data used in this study were previously anonymised as required by the Italian Data Protection Code (Legislative Decree 196/2003) and the general authorisations issued by the Data Protection Authority. Ethics Committee approval was deemed unnecessary because, under Italian law, all sensitive data were deleted and we collected only age, gender and sampling date (Art. 6 and Art. 9 of Legislative Decree 211/2003).

Eighteen sequences were obtained after isolating the virus in Vero E6 cells while the remaining 41
were obtained directly from biological samples such as nasopharyngeal swabs or broncho-alveolar
lavages (39 and 2, respectively).

SARS-CoV-2 RNA was extracted using the Kit QIAsymphony DSP Virus/Pathogen Midi kit on
the QIAsymphony automated platform (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) (n=9) and manually with
QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (n=50).

Full genome sequences were obtained with different protocols by amplifying 26 fragments as previously described (n=42) [1] or using random hexamer primers (n=8) or Ion AmpliSeq SARS-CoV-2 Research Panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (n=9). The PCR products were used to prepare a library for Illumina deep sequencing using a Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation and Index kit (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's manual, and sequencing was carried out on a Illumina MiSeq platform for fifty samples, while the remaining nine were sequenced on Ion GeneStudio[™] S5 System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) instrument following the Ion AmpliSeq[™] RNA
libraries protocol. The results were mapped and aligned to the reference genome obtained from
GISAID (https://www.gisaid.org/, accession ID: EPI_ISL_412973) using Geneious software, v. 9.1.5
(http://www.geneious.com) [6] or Torrent Suite v. 5.10.1 or BWA-mem and rescued using Samtools
alignment/Map (v 1.9).

104

105 2.2 Sequence data sets

106 The newly characterized 59 genomes plus three previously characterized isolates by us 107 (EPI_ISL_417445-417447) [1] were aligned with a total of 77 Italian sequences available in public 108 databases (GISAID, https://www.gisaid.org/) on May 13, 2020 and 452 genomes sampled in different 109 European and Asian countries (513 and 16, respectively) representing all the different viral clades 110 described in the Nextstrain platform (https://nextstrain.org/). The final data set thus included 588 111 sequences. Due to the large amount of available sequences, we focused the analysis on European 112 strains by randomly selecting sequences from each country and by excluding identical strains or 113 strains with more than 5% of gaps. We sampled the data in order to have no temporal gaps, by 114 grouping the sequences by country/week/clade and randomly selecting the sequences in each group. 115 We choose 15 sequences for clade A2 and 5 sequences for other clades for each European country. For 116 countries with less than the required sequence number we kept all the sequences. The sampling dates 117 of the entire dataset ranged from December 30, 2019 to April 27, 2020. Table S2 shows the accession 118 IDs, sampling dates and locations of the sequences included in the dataset.

A subset of sequences assigned to the old Nextstrain A2 clade was generated for dating the epidemic, including all the Italian sequences, one German (EPI_ISL_406862) and three Chinese isolates from Shanghai, ancestral to the A2 clade (EPI_ISL_416327, EPI_ISL_416334 and EPI_ISL_416386). Coalescent and birth-death phylodynamic analyses were performed on the 136 Italian A2 sequences only.

Alignment was performed using MAFFT [7] and manually cropped to a final length of 29,779 bp
 using BioEdit v. 7.2.6.1 (http://www.mbio.ncsu. edu/ bioedit/ bioedit. html).

126

127 2.3 Genetic distance, recombination and selection pressure analyses

The MEGA X program was used to evaluate the genetic distance between and within Italian
 sequences on the full length genome, with variance estimation performed using 1,000 bootstrap
 replicates [8].

The RDP5 software was used to investigate the presence of potential recombination [9].

All of the genes were tested for selection pressure using Datamonkey(https://www.datamonkey.org/).

134

131

135 2.4 *Phylogenetic and phylodynamic analyses*

136The simplest evolutionary model best fitting the sequence data was selected using the JmodelTest137v.2.1.7 software [10], and proved to be the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model with a proportion of138invariant sites (HKY+I).

139 The phylogenetic analysis for clade assignment was performed by RaxML [11] on the entire 140 dataset of 588 genomes. During the period in which we were carrying out the study, the SARS-CoV-2 141 clade nomenclature system changed. In particular, Rambaut et al. proposed a dynamic nomenclature 142 based on phylogenetic lineages, called Pangolin (Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak 143 LINeages) [12]. For this reason we used the old Nextstrain and the new Pangolin (freely available at 144 https://pangolin.cog-uk.io/) systems for strain classification. The new Nextstrain classification was 145 performed by using the available script 146 (https://github.com/nextstrain/ncov/blob/master/docs/running.md).

147 The virus' phylogeny, evolutionary rates, times of the most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) 148 and demographic growth were co-estimated in a Bayesian framework using a Markov Chain Monte

149 Carlo (MCMC) method implemented in v.1.10.4 and v.2.62 of the BEAST package [13], [14].

A root-to-tip regression analysis was made using TempEst in order to investigate the temporalsignal of the dataset [15].

152 Different coalescent priors (constant population size and exponential growth and Bayesian 153 skyline) and strict vs. relaxed molecular clock models were tested by means of Path Sampling (PS) and 154 Stepping Stone (SS) sampling [16]. The evolutionary rate prior normal distribution, after informing the 155 set at mean 0.8 10-3 substitutions/site/year mean evolutionary rate, was х 156 (http://virological.org/t/phylodynamic-analysis-176-genomes-6-mar-2020/356).

157 The MCMC analysis was run until convergence with sampling every 10,000 generations. 158 Convergence was assessed by estimating the effective sampling size (ESS) after 10% burn-in using 159 Tracer v.1.7 software (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/), and accepting ESS values of 200 or 160 more. The uncertainty of the estimates was indicated by 95% highest marginal likelihoods estimated 161 [17] by path sampling/stepping stone methods [16].

The final trees were summarised by selecting the tree with the maximum product of posterior
probabilities (pp) (maximum clade credibility or MCC) after a 10% burn-in using Tree Annotator
v.1.10.4 (included in the BEAST package), and were visualised using FigTree v.1.4.2
(http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

166

167 2.5 Birth-Death Skyline estimates of the effective reproductive number (R_e)

168 The birth-death skyline model implemented in Beast 2.62 was used to infer changes in the 169 effective reproductive number (R_e), and other epidemiological parameters such as the death/recovery 170 rate (δ), the transmission rate (λ), the origin of the epidemic, and the sampling proportion (ϱ) [18]. 171 Given that the samples were collected during a short period of time, a "birth-death contemporary" 172 model was used.

The analyses were based on the previously selected HKY substitution model and the evolutionary rate was set to the value of 0.8 x 10⁻³ subs/site/year, which corresponds to the mean substitution rate estimated using a relaxed clock under the exponential coalescent model as transformed into units per year.

177 For the birth-death skyline analysis, from one to two R_e intervals and a log-normal prior with a 178 mean (M) of 0.0 and a variance (S) of 1.0 were chosen, which allows the Re values to change between <1 179 (0.193) to >5. A normal prior with M=48.7 and S=15 (corresponding to a 95% interval from 24.0 to 73.4) 180 was used for the rate of becoming uninfectious. These values are expressed as units per year and 181 reflect the inverse of the time of infectiousness (5.3-19 days, mean 7.5) according to the serial interval 182 estimated by Li et al. [19]. Sampling probability (0) was estimated assuming a prior Beta (alpha=1.0 and 183 beta=999), corresponding to a minority of the sampled cases (between 10^{-5} to 10^{-3}). The origin of the 184 epidemic was estimated using a normal prior with M=0.1 and S=0.05 in units per year.

- 185 The MCMC analyses were run for 100 million generations and sampled every 10,000 steps.
- 186 Convergence was assessed on the basis of ESS values (ESS >200). Uncertainty in the estimates was
 187 indicated by 95% highest posterior density (95% HPD) intervals.

188 The mean growth rate was calculated on the basis of the birth and recovery rates ($r=\lambda-\delta$), and the 189 doubling time was estimated by the equation: doubling time=ln(2)/r [20].

190 3. Results

191 *3.1 Phylogenetic analysis of the whole dataset*

192 No recombination events were observed in the entire dataset according to analyses with RDP5193 software.

194 Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood showed that the Italian sequences were included 195 in a single SARS-CoV-2 clade (the old Nextstrain A2 clade) with the exception of three sequences: 196 two from Chinese patients visiting Italy at the end of January 2020 after being infected in Wuhan and 197 one characterized by us from an Italian subject, living in Padua, sampled in March 2020, not 198 reporting any recent trip outside Italy or contacts with subjects affected by COVID-19 (pp=0.99)

199 (Figure 1, clade 19A).





Figure 1. Maximum likelihood tree of the full dataset including 588 SARS-CoV-2 genomes. Nextstrainclassification is indicated by colours as reported in the legend. Italian strains are highlighted in red.

204

Recently, new nomenclature systems have been proposed for the SARS-CoV-2 clades. The new
lineage assignment of 62 Italian isolates is reported on Table 1 with the correspondence to other
naming systems (old and new Nextstrain). All of our isolates belonged to the lineage B.1, only one

208 isolate was classified as lineage B.

210 Table 1. Pangolin lineage classification of 62 Italian strains included in the study.

211

209

Lineage (Pangolin)	Total	%	From	Nextstrain new	Nextstrain old
В	B 1 1.6		PD (1)	19A	nd
			MI (15), PS(7), AN (1), MC (1) PD (8), BG (1), CR (3), SI (3), AR (3),		
B.1	47	75.8	GR (1), BS (4)	20A, nd	A2a
B.1.1	11	17.7	MI (4), PD (1), SI (4), GR (1), AR (1)	20B	A2a
B.1.34	1	1.6	MI (1)	nd	A2a
B.1.5	2	3.2	MI (1), BG (1)	20A	A2a

212 PD: Padua, MI: Milan, PS: Pesaro, AN: Ancona, MC: Macerata, BG: Bergamo, CR: Cremona, SI: Siena, AR: Arezzo, GR: Grosseto, BS: Brescia, nd: not determined.

214 3.2 Genetic distances analysis

215 The overall mean p-distance between all the Italian isolates was 2.3 (SE:0.3) s/10,000 nts, 216 corresponding to a mean of 6.4 (SE: 0.8) substitutions per genome. The non-synonymous distance 217 (dN) was 2.0 (SE: 0.4) non-syn s/10,000 non-syn nts while the overall synonymous mean distance 218 (dS) was equal to 2.4 (SE: .05) syn s/10000 syn nts (dN/dS=0.83). A higher heterogeneity was 219 observed through months as, stratifying the genetic distances on the basis of the sampling time, we 220 observed a higher heterogeneity among the strains isolated in February (n=19) compared to those 221 collected in March (n=96) or April (n=21) (Table 2).

222

223 Table 2. Mean genetic divergence within and between Italian strains according to the sampling time 224 (substitutions per 10,000 sites).

225

	Within				Between					
Time	P distance (SE)	nucleotide (SE)	dS (SE)	dN (SE)	Time	p distance (SE)	nucleotide (SE)	dS (SE)	dN (SE)	
Fobruary	3.8	9.6	3.5	3.8	Fahrmary ve March	3.1	8.1	2.9	2.8	
rebluary	(0.6)	(1.5)	(1.1)	(0.6)	rebluary 05 Watch	(0.4)	(1.3)	(0.8)	(0.4)	
March	1.9	5.4	2.2	1.5	March we Amril	2.3	6.6	2.1	2.0	
March	(0.3)	(0.8)	(0.5)	(0.4)	March 05 April	(0.3)	(0.8)	(0.6)	(0.5)	
A	2.4	6.8	1.7	2.1		3.7	10	2.7	3.5	
April	(0.3)	(0.9)	(0.8)	(0.5)	February <i>vs</i> April	(0.5)	(1.5)	(0.8)	(0.6)	

226 SE: Standard error, dS: synonymous distance, dN: non-synonymous distance.

227

228 3.3 Differences in Amino Acids

229 Considering only the non-synonymous mutations and comparing the Italian genomes with the 230 common ancestor (China), there were 159 amino acid substitutions affecting different viral genes, 231 (112 in ORF 1a/1b, 19 in S, 12 in ORF 3a, 4 in M, 3 in ORF7a, 6 in N, and one each in Orf7b, 8 and 10) 232 of which only 15 (9.4%) were observed in 2 or more isolates, as summarized in Table 3. No 233 aminoacid changes were observed in the E gene. The previously described substitution D614G in the 234 Spike protein was present in all the isolates belonging to the lineage B.1 and in the strain from Padua 235 belonging to lineage B.

236 Considering the Italian isolates, only 1 site resulted under significant selecting pressure by three 237 different methods (MEME, FEL, FUBAR): site 1,046 in the S gene that was present in three isolates 238 from Padua. This G1046V mutation is located in the S2 subunit, between heptad repeat 1 and 2. 239 Mutations R203K-G204R in N gene were always simultaneously detected. It appears that these 240 mutations discontinue a serine-arginine (S-R) dipeptide by introducing a lysine in-between them, 241 having impacts on structure and function in the mutated N protein.

242 Fifty two sequences in our dataset carried these mutations, particularly 11 of the 59 whole 243 genome newly characterized; six of these were from Tuscany, four from Milan and one from Padua.

Genome	Matation	#/total	Percentage
region	Mutation	n/total	(%)
	S443F	2/135	1.5
	H3076Y	2/135	1.5
ORF 1ab	L3606F	3/131	2.3
	P4715L	133/136	97.8
	E5689D	2/135	1.5
	R5919K	2/123	1.6
	A570D	2/129	1.6
S	D614G	128/130	98.5
	G1046V*	3/134	2.2
ORF 3a	G251V	3/134	2.2
Μ	D3G	21/133	15.8
ORF 7a	G70C	2/134	1.5
	R203K-G204R	52/133	39.1
1N	V246I	3/136	2.2

246

* mutation under significant selective pressure

247

248 3.4 Time reconstruction of the SARS-CoV-2 Italian lineage B.1 phylogeny

249 Root-to-tip regression analysis of the temporal signal from the Italian B.1 subset revealed a 250 weak association between genetic distances and sampling days (a correlation coefficient of 0.31 and 251 a coefficient of determination (R^2) of 9.9 x 10⁻²).

Comparison by BF test of the marginal likelihoods obtained by path sampling (PS) and stepping stone sampling (SS) of the strict vs relaxed molecular clock (uncorrelated log-normal) showed that the second performed better than the former (strict vs. relaxed molecular clock BF(PS)=-71.9 and BF(SS)=-71.4 for relaxed clock). Comparison of the different demographic models showed that the BSP and the exponential growth models best fitted the data (BSP vs. constant population size BF(PS)= 27.9 and BF(SS)= 30.2 for BSP; constant population size vs. exponential growth BF(PS)= 7.3 and BF(SS)= 8.6) (Table S3).

The mean tMRCA of the tree root (Figure 2) was estimated at 107 days before present (BP)
(95%HPD: 91.2-113.1), corresponding to January 11 2020 (from January 5 to January 27). The tMRCA
of the subclade including all the Italian sequences was estimated to be 92.4 (95%HPD: 76.6-95) days
BP, corresponding to January 25 (between January 23 and February 10).

263 The Bayesian tree of the Italian sequences showed 15 small significant subclades including two264 to ten isolates (Figure 2).



265

266 Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 tree of 136 Italian strains plus one German and three Chinese isolates from Shanghai,

showing statistically significant support for clades along the branches (posterior probability > 0.7).Large red

- and purple circles indicated highest posterior probability. Calendar dates of the tree root and the Italian clade
- were showed in red.were showed in red.

271 *3.5 Phylodynamic analysis of the Italian dataset*

272 The Bayesian skyline plot of the Italian isolates showed an increase in the number of infections

in the period between 23 February and mid-March 2020, with a rapid exponential growth between

274 March 4 and 16 when it reached a plateau continuing until the last sampling time (Figure 3).





Figure 3. Bayesian Skyline plot of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. The Y axis indicates effective population size (Ne)
and the X axis shows the time in fraction of years. The thick solid line represents the median value of the
estimates, and the grey area the 95% HPD.

The Bayesian birth-death skyline plot of the R_e estimates with 95%HPD with a single R group (corresponding to R_0) estimated a mean value of 2.25 (1.5-3.1). Figure 4 (panels a and b) shows the changes of R_e since the origin of the epidemic and suggests that R_e was higher than 1 since the early days (mean initial R_e =1.4, 95%HPD: 0.08-2.9). The curve started to grow in early February and peaked to a mean value of 2.3 (95%HPD: 1.5-3.5) in the first half of March, and has since remained at this value. The curve obtained with three R_e groups showed a slight decrease at mid-March (Figure 4, panel b).

The origin of the epidemic was estimated at a mean 80.3 days BP (credibility interval: 60-109), corresponding to February 7 (between January 9 and February 27). The recovery rate was estimated about 7.26 days (CI 4.7-16.0 days), and the transmission rate (λ) increased from 71.7 to 115.96 in units per year (corresponding to a growth rate of 0.06 and 0.18 year⁻¹). On the basis of these data, the doubling time decreased from 5.1 days to 3.1 days in the period between early February and mid-March.



293

Figure 4. Part A: Birth-death skyline plot of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak allowing one Re intervals. Part B:
Birth-death skyline plot of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak allowing three Re intervals.

The curves and the orange areas show the mean Re values and their 95% confidence intervals. The Y and X axes
 indicate R values and time in years, respectively.

298 4. Discussion

299 Molecular tracing of SARS-CoV-2 coupled with advanced Bayesian and Maximum likelihood 300 phylogenetic analysis provide detailed information about the epidemiology and evolution of 301 emerging infections and helps to improve our understanding on the mechanisms of spreading of the 302 epidemic.

303 In a previous study [1], we characterized the viral sequences obtained from the first three 304 patients coming from the Codogno area who were hospitalized at the very beginning of the 305 epidemic in Italy. The Codogno strains correlated with an isolate from an outbreak occurred in 306 Bavaria around January 20 [4]. The present analysis shows that all but one of 62 SARS-CoV-2 307 sequences obtained from February 22 to the end of April in different Northern and Central Italian 308 areas belong to a single clade, corresponding to the Pangolin lineage B.1, the old Nextstrain subclade 309 A2a and the Nextstrain clades 20A 20B new and 310 (https://nextstrain.org/blog/2020-06-02-SARSCoV2-clade-naming) [12], [1]. About 1 out of 4 isolates 311 were classified in different clusters, always included in the main B.1 lineage (such as B.1.1 and B.1.5), 312 most on a temporal basis, being these clusters more represented among the genomes sampled in the 313 second half of March and April (9/14, 64%), while B.1 lineage was more represented in the genomes 314 obtained in February and first half of March (33/47, 70.2%).

This observation was also confirmed by other Italian studies [3], [1]. The same clade is now the most widespread in the world and includes most of the published genomes [5]. The genetic distances among the Italian strains were relatively short, corresponding to an average of about 6.4 mutations per viral genome, even if single isolates may have a higher number of changes. After grouping the sequences according with the sampling months, while the within group mean genetic distances were higher in February compared to subsequent months, the genetic distance between 321 different months increased with time. This observation confirms a continuous evolution of the viral 322 genome (with the emergence of new divergent variants) mainly driven by genetic drift. No 323 significant difference was observed between the non-synonymous and the synonymous 324 substitutions (dn/ds=0.8), suggesting the absence of relevant selective forces driving the evolution of 325 the viral genome. This observation is further confirmed by the analysis of site-specific selective 326 pressure in the Italian strains, which only showed a single site under significant positive selection in 327 the S protein (position 1,046) observed in three strains from Padua. Including in the phylogenetic 328 tree 3 isolates from Shanghai and one from the first patient of the Bavarian cluster, being at the root 329 of the B.1 lineage, the dated tree obtained suggests that SARS-CoV-2 entered Italy between late 330 January and early February 2020. This timing matches with the first autochthonous European cluster 331 of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in Bavaria (Germany), originated on January 20 [21], [4], [1] by the 332 introduction of a strain carried by the index patient coming from Shanghai, where the virus had 333 been circulating since January. The skyline plot analysis of the Italian clade shows an exponential 334 increase of the effective number of infections from late February to mid-March, in excellent 335 agreement with the known epidemiological data 336 (https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/sars-cov-2-dashboard). In particular, a very rapid growth 337 of the epidemic was detected between the beginning of March and the middle of the same month, 338 when the curve reaches a plateau up to the end of sampling (27 April). The mean value of R₀ was 339 estimated as 2.25 (1.5 to 3.1) in the entire period. A similar result was obtained by Stadler et al. on a 340 smaller sample of 11 sequences mainly from patients with known travel history to Italy 341 (https://virological.org/t/phylodynamic-analyses-based-on-11-genomes-from-the-italian-outbreak/4 342 26). The estimated basic reproduction number (R₀) for SARS-CoV-2 has ranged mainly from 2 to 4, 343 according to the different methods employed for the evaluation [22]. In Italy, values between 2.4 and 344 3.6 have been estimated in the early phase of COVID-19 epidemic before the control measures were 345 taken [23], [24], [25]. Predictive mathematical models are fundamental to understand the dynamics 346 of the epidemic, plan effective control strategies and verify the efficacy of those applied.

347 Using a birth-death skyline, we analysed the changes of R_e during the epidemic in Italy over the 348 entire period. We observed that the Re was >1 since the first decade of February, suggesting that the 349 infection was circulating within the population before the first notified (hospitalized) COVID-19 350 cases. The Re skyline plot reached a value of 2.3 in the first days of March, together with the rapid 351 increase observed in the number of infections by BSP, and slightly decreased thereafter, in 352 agreement with the official data on the course of the epidemic. Between February and March the 353 estimated doubling time of the epidemic decreased from 5.1 to 3.1 days. This value was smaller than 354 that obtained by us for the epidemic in China [26] and might be interpreted as a consequence of a 355 delayed application of more stringent containment measures in Italy. In fact, a slight decrease of the 356 Re value was observed only after mid-March, when a more rigorous social distancing was enforced 357 across the entire country. The persistence of a Re value higher than one until April, in partial contrast 358 with the epidemiological data (https://covstat.it/), could be due to the fact that our estimate was 359 influenced by the circulation of the virus in the community, which is larger than the number of the 360 officially registered clinical cases. It is well known that only a small minority of SARS-CoV-2 361 infections require hospitalization and that in Italy the number of cases of infection has widely 362 exceeded the number of official reports. In a recent study, the prevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 363 antibodies in asymptomatic blood donors living in Milan was shown to increase from February to 364 April, when the prevalence reached its maximum (about 7%) [27]. However, in Italy the numbers of 365 active cases began to decrease only in the second half of April, when the present study had already 366 been stopped. Further studies on extended data collection will be required to estimate the effects of 367 the containment measures.

The only one genome characterised in our study not belonging to lineage B.1 was isolated in a 76-year-old man living in the province of Padua (Veneto), who survived to serious COVID-19 manifestations despite old age and the presence of several comorbidities. He denied any contact with infected subjects and did not travel abroad. This virus belongs to the same lineage (B) of the first 2 cases imported into Italy from the Hubei region, China, at the end of January 2020, before Italy 373 suspended flights from China. The couple landed at the Milan airport and travelled to other 374 locations in Northern and Central Italy before the onset of symptoms requiring hospitalization in 375 Rome, but they had not travelled to Padua. Thus, the origin of such a strain remains unexplained 376 and further investigations are underway to evaluate whether this strain may have played a role in 377 causing an epidemic, at least locally. It would also be interesting to investigate whether the currently 378 predominant strain was for some reasons more epidemic than the initial strain, or if the spread of the

atter was limited by random factors.

In conclusion, our data show the importance of molecular and phylogenetic evolutionary reconstruction in the surveillance of emerging infections. Of note, it appears that the outbreak in Italy, which involved hundreds of thousands of people, is mainly attributable to a single introduction of the virus and its uncontrolled circulation for a period of about four weeks. These results reaffirm the strategic importance of continuous surveillance and timely tracing to define and rapidly implement effective containment measures for a possible second wave of the pandemic.

386

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.L, G.Z, C.B., M.G. methodology, A.L, G.Z.; software,
A.L, G.Z., A.B.; formal analysis, A.L, G.Z., S.R., A.B.; investigation, A.L., A.B., N.C., I.V., F.D., S.M.,
F.C.; writing—original draft preparation, A.L., G.Z, M.G.; writing—review and editing, A.L, G.Z.,
M.G., A.B., C.B; visualization, all authors; supervision, all authors; project administration, G.Z, C.B.,
M.G.; funding acquisition, G.Z, M.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of

- the manuscript.
- Funding: This research was funded by Fondo straordinario di Ateneo per lo Studio del Covid-19,University of Milan.
- Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the authors and the originating and submitting laboratories of
 the GISAID sequences. The research was conducted under a cooperative agreement between
 Università degli Studi di Milano Medicina del Lavoro e Clinica delle Malattie Infettive del
 Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche e Cliniche "Luigi Sacco", Intesa Sanpaolo and Intesa Sanpaolo
 Innovation Center.
- 400 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 401 Contributor Information: SCIRE collaborative Group
- 402 F Alessandrini1, M Andreoni2, G Antonelli3, S Babudieri4, P Bagnarelli5, S Bonora6, B Bruzzone7, G
- 403 Brindicci8, P Carrer9, F Ceccherini4, M Codeluppi10, A Coluccello11, N Coppola12, MG Cusi13,14,
- 404 C Della Ventura9, L Di Sante5, S Di Giambenedetto15, G Di Perri6, V Fiore4, S Fiorentini16, D
- 405 Francisci17, C Gandolfo13, C Gervasoni18, V Ghisetti6, R Greco19, G Guarona20, M Iannetta2, L Li
- 406 Puma21, V. Malagnino2, G Mancuso 22, C Mastroianni3, I Menozzi23, E Milano8, A Miola21, M
- 407 Morganti23, L Monno8, G Noberasco20, G Nunnari22, V Onofri1, A Orsi20, MG Pierfranceschi11, S
- 408 Pongolini23, D Ripamonti24, S Rubino4, L Ruggerone21, D Russignaga25, C Sagnelli12, M
- 409 Sanguinetti15, L Sarmati2, L Sasset26, E Scaltriti23, R Schiavo10, M Schioppa27, S Testa11, C Turchi1,
- 410 O Turriziani3, E Venanzi Rollo22, S Zanussi28, A Zoncada11
- 411 1. Section of Legal Medicine, Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona, Italy
- 412 2. University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy
- 413 3. Laboratory of Virology, Department of Public Health and Molecular Medicine, Sapienza
- 414 University, Rome, Italy.
- 415 4. Department of Medical, Surgical, and Experimental Sciences, University of Sassari, Viale San
- 416 Pietro 43, 07100 Sassari, Italy
- 417 5. Department of Biomedical Sciences and Public Health, Virology and Legal Medicine
- 418 Laboratories, Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona, Italy

419 6. Clinic of Infectious Diseases, Amedeo di Savoia Hospital, University of Torino 420 Hygiene Unit, IRCCS AOU San Martino-IST, Genova, Italy 7. 421 University Hospital Consortium of the Polyclinic of Bari, Bari, Italy 8. 422 Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences "L. Sacco" University of Milan, IT-20157 Milan, 9. 423 Italy 424 10. Health Unit of Piacenza, Piacenza, Italy 425 11. Unit of Infectious Diseases, Territorial Social Health Company of Cremona, Cremona, Italy 426 12. Department of Mental Health and Public Medicine, Section of Infectious Diseases, University of 427 Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples, Italy 428 13. Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Siena, Italy 429 14. Microbiology and Virology Unit, Siena University Hospital, University of Siena, Italy 430 15. Institute of Clinical Infectious Diseases, Catholic University of Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy 431 16. Laboratory of Microbiology and Virology, Territorial social health companySpedali Civili, 432 Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Brescia, Italy 433 17. Infectious Diseases Clinic, Department of Medicine, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy 434 18. ASST Fatebenefratelli Sacco, III Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Milan 435 19. Microbiology Unit, Clinical Pathology Complex Operative Unit, Sant'Anna e San Sebastiano 436 Hospital, Caserta, Italy 437 20. Department of Health Sciences (DISSAL), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy 438 21. Intesa Sanpaolo Innovation Center, Turin, Italy 439 22. Complex Operating Unit, Infectious Diseases, Policlinico Gaetano Martino, University of 440 Messina, Italy 441 23. Risk Analysis and Genomic Epidemiology Unit, Experimental Prophylactic Zoo Institute of 442 Lombardy and Emilia Romagna, Parma, Italy 443 24. Infectious Diseases Unit, Territorial Social Health Agency of Bergamo, Papa Giovanni XXIII 444 Hospital, Bergamo 445 25. Prevention and Protection Service, INTESA S.p.A., Turin, Italy 446 26. Infectious and Tropical Diseases, University of Padova-Hospital, Padova, Italy 447 27. Simple departmental operating unit of Genetics and molecular biology, AORN S. Anna e S. 448 Sebastiano, Caserta, Italy 449 28. COS of Medical Oncology and Immunocorrelated Tumors, Oncological Reference Center, 450 Aviano, Italy 451 452 References 453 454 Zehender, G.; Lai, A.; Bergna, A.; Meroni, L.; Riva, A.; Balotta, C.; Tarkowski, M.; Gabrieli, A.; Bernacchia, 1. 455 D.; Rusconi, S., et al. Genomic characterization and phylogenetic analysis of SARS-COV-2 in Italy. Journal 456 of medical virology 2020, 29, 25794. 457 2. Capobianchi, M.R.; Rueca, M.; Messina, F.; Giombini, E.; Carletti, F.; Colavita, F.; Castilletti, C.; Lalle, E.; 458 Bordi, L.; Vairo, F., et al. Molecular characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from the first case of COVID-19 in 459 Italy. Clin Microbiol Infect 2020, 26, 954-956. 460 Stefanelli, P.; Faggioni, G.; Lo Presti, A.; Fiore, S.; Marchi, A.; Benedetti, E.; Fabiani, C.; Anselmo, A.; 3. 461 Ciammaruconi, A.; Fortunato, A., et al. Whole genome and phylogenetic analysis of two SARS-CoV-2 462 strains isolated in Italy in January and February 2020: additional clues on multiple introductions and 463 further circulation in Europe. Euro Surveill 2020, 25, 1560-7917.

- 464 4. Rothe, C.; Schunk, M.; Sothmann, P.; Bretzel, G.; Froeschl, G.; Wallrauch, C.; Zimmer, T.; Thiel, V.; Janke,
 465 C.; Guggemos, W., et al. Transmission of 2019-nCoV Infection from an Asymptomatic Contact in
 466 Germany. *The New England journal of medicine* 2020, *382*, 970-971.
- Korber, B.; Fischer, W.M.; Gnanakaran, S.; Yoon, H.; Theiler, J.; Abfalterer, W.; Foley, B.; Giorgi, E.E.;
 Bhattacharya, T.; Parker, M.D., et al. Spike mutation pipeline reveals the emergence of a more transmissible form of SARS-CoV-2. *bioRxiv* 2020, 2020.2004.2029.069054.
- 470 6. Kearse, M.; Moir, R.; Wilson, A.; Stones-Havas, S.; Cheung, M.; Sturrock, S.; Buxton, S.; Cooper, A.;
 471 Markowitz, S.; Duran, C., et al. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform
 472 for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2012, 28, 1647-1649.
- 473 7. Katoh, K.; Standley, D.M. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in
 474 Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2013, *30*, 772-780
- 475 8. Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Li, M.; Knyaz, C.; Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
 476 across Computing Platforms. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2018, *35*, 1547-1549
- 477 9. Martin, D.P.; Murrell, B.; Golden, M.; Khoosal, A.; Muhire, B. RDP4: Detection and analysis of recombination patterns in virus genomes. *Virus Evolution* 2015, 1.
- 479 10. Posada, D. jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. *Mol Biol Evol* 2008, 25, 1253-1256.
- 480 11. Stamatakis, A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies.
 481 *Bioinformatics* 2014, *30*, 1312-1313.
- Rambaut, A.; Holmes, E.C.; Hill, V.; O'Toole, Á.; McCrone, J.T.; Ruis, C.; du Plessis, L.; Pybus, O.G. A
 dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 to assist genomic epidemiology. *bioRxiv* 2020, 2020.2004.2017.046086.
- 485 13. Suchard, M.A.; Lemey, P.; Baele, G.; Ayres, D.L.; Drummond, A.J.; Rambaut, A. Bayesian phylogenetic
 486 and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10. *Virus Evol* 2018, 4, vey016.
- 487 14. Bouckaert, R.; Vaughan, T.G.; Barido-Sottani, J.; Duchêne, S.; Fourment, M.; Gavryushkina, A.; Heled, J.;
 488 Jones, G.; Kühnert, D.; De Maio, N., et al. BEAST 2.5: An advanced software platform for Bayesian
 489 evolutionary analysis. *PLoS computational biology* 2019, *15*, e1006650.
- 490 15. Rambaut, A.; Lam, T.T.; Max Carvalho, L.; Pybus, O.G. Exploring the temporal structure of heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). *Virus Evol.* 2016, *2*, 2057-1577.
- 492 16. Baele, G.; Lemey, P.; Bedford, T.; Rambaut, A.; Suchard, M.A.; Alekseyenko, A.V. Improving the accuracy
 493 of demographic and molecular clock model comparison while accommodating phylogenetic uncertainty.
 494 *Mol Biol Evol* 2012, 29, 2157-2167.
- 495 17. Suchard, M.A.; Weiss Re Fau Sinsheimer, J.S.; Sinsheimer, J.S. Bayesian selection of continuous-time
 496 Markov chain evolutionary models. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2001, *18(6)*, 1001-1013.
- 497 18. Stadler, T.; Kuhnert, D.; Bonhoeffer, S.; Drummond, A.J. Birth-death skyline plot reveals temporal changes
 498 of epidemic spread in HIV and hepatitis C virus (HCV). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, *110*, 228-233.
- 499 19. Li, Q.; Guan, X.; Wu, P.; Wang, X.; Zhou, L.; Tong, Y.; Ren, R.; Leung, K.S.M.; Lau, E.H.Y.; Wong, J.Y., et al.
 500 Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia. *New England*501 *Journal of Medicine* 2020, *382*, 1199-1207.
- 502 20. Walker, P.R.; Pybus, O.G.; Rambaut, A.; Holmes, E.C. Comparative population dynamics of HIV-1
 503 subtypes B and C: subtype-specific differences in patterns of epidemic growth. *Infect Genet Evol* 2005, *5*,
 504 199-208.
- 505 21. Spiteri, G.; Fielding, J.; Diercke, M.; Campese, C.; Enouf, V.; Gaymard, A.; Bella, A.; Sognamiglio, P.; Sierra
 506 Moros, M.J.; Riutort, A.N., et al. First cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the WHO European
 507 Region, 24 January to 21 February 2020. *Euro Surveill* 2020, 25, 1560-7917.
- Liu, Y.; Gayle, A.A.; Wilder-Smith, A.; Rocklöv, J. The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher
 compared to SARS coronavirus. *Journal of travel medicine* 2020, 27.
- 510 23. D'Arienzo, M.; Coniglio, A. Assessment of the SARS-CoV-2 basic reproduction number, R0, based on the
 511 early phase of COVID-19 outbreak in Italy. *Biosafety and Health* 2020.
- 512 24. Gatto, M.; Bertuzzo, E.; Mari, L.; Miccoli, S.; Carraro, L.; Casagrandi, R.; Rinaldo, A. Spread and dynamics
 513 of the COVID-19 epidemic in Italy: Effects of emergency containment measures. *Proceedings of the National*514 *Academy of Sciences* 2020, *117*, 10484-10491.
- 515 25. Yuan, J.; Li, M.; Lv, G.; Lu, Z.K. Monitoring transmissibility and mortality of COVID-19 in Europe. *Int J*516 *Infect Dis* 2020, *95*, 311-315.
- 517 26. Lai, A.; Bergna, A.; Acciarri, C.; Galli, M.; Zehender, G. Early phylogenetic estimate of the effective reproduction number of SARS-CoV-2. *Journal of medical virology* 2020, *92*, 675-679.
- Valenti, L.; Bergna, A.; Pelusi, S.; Facciotti, F.; Lai, A.; Tarkowski, M.; Berzuini, A.; Caprioli, F.; Santoro, L.;
 Baselli, G., et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence trends in healthy blood donors during the COVID-19 Milan outbreak. *medRxiv* 2020, 2020.2005.2011.20098442.



20A 20B 20C 19A 19B Italy







Time